

Anthony V. Diosdi (SBN 339070)  
(adiosdi@sftaxcounsel.com)  
Diosdi Ching & Liu LLP  
505 Montgomery Street, 11th Flr  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: 415.391.3990  
Facsimile: 415.335.7922

Attorney for Plaintiff  
JAE CHUNG.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

<b>JAE CHUNG,</b>  Plaintiff,  vs.  <b>UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,</b>  Defendant.	Case No.  <b>COMPLAINT FOR REFUND OF FEDERAL INCOME TAXES PAID AND CIVIL DAMAGES</b>
--	--

Plaintiff, JAE CHUNG, (“Plaintiff”), by and through his attorney, Anthony V. Diosdi, for his Complaint against Defendant, the United States of America (“Defendant”) alleges as follows:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
**NATURE OF THE CASE**

1. This action for a refund of federal income taxes (interest and penalties) paid for tax year 2006, civil damages, and other relief arises from Defendant's reckless and negligent collection actions against Plaintiff after the ten (10) year period for collection of federal taxes (also known as the statute of limitations) expired.

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1346(a) and 26 U.S.C. §7433.

3. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Central District of California under 28 U.S.C. §1391(e)(1) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim against the United States occurred in this district, and because Plaintiff resides in this district.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
**PARTIES**

4. Plaintiff, Jae Chung, is an individual residing in Los Angeles County of the State of California.

5. Defendant, United States of America, is the federal government formed under the Constitution of the United States of America with its capitol in Washington, D.C.

25  
26  
27  
28  
**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

6. Plaintiff entered into the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") Offshore Voluntary Disclosure Program ("OVDP") for tax years 2006, 2007 and 2008 (2007

1 and 2008 are not at issue in this action).

2  
3 7. The OVDP was available to all taxpayers with previously undisclosed  
4 offshore assets and was intended to afford those taxpayers an opportunity to  
5 become compliant with U.S. tax laws.  
6

7 8. On or about November 2010, Plaintiff entered into a Closing Agreement with  
8 the Department of the Treasury for tax year 2006, whereby Plaintiff agreed to the  
9 following: Deficiency in Tax (\$1,127,927.00); penalties (\$225,585.40) and interest  
10 (\$296,342.32); for a total amount of \$1,662,241.32; and a separate offshore penalty  
11 of \$465,972.  
12

13 9. On September 5, 2011, the IRS assessed Plaintiff taxes in the amount of  
14 \$1,127,927.00. plus interest (\$340,020.77) and penalties (\$225,585.40) for tax year  
15 2006.  
16

17 10. Plaintiff made significant payments towards his 2006 tax year liability for  
18 over ten (10) years.  
19

20 11. Subsequent to September 5, 2021, the IRS' threatened collection action  
21 against Plaintiff.  
22

23 IRS Collection Actions  
24

25 12. On May 16, 2022, the IRS filed a Notice of Federal Tax Lien ("NFTL") in  
26 the amount of \$707,323.71 against Plaintiff with the County Recorder in Los  
27 Angeles County, Norwalk, California.  
28

1           13. On or about July 25, 2022, the IRS issued levies against Plaintiff and  
2  
3 Plaintiff's former spouse's financial accounts.

4           14. On July 14, 2022 and July 21, 2022, and as a direct result of the IRS' illegal  
5  
6 actions threatening enforced collections, Plaintiff paid the sum of \$200,000 and  
7 \$1,089,316.55, respectively, to the IRS.

8           *Claim For Refund*

9  
10          15. The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") is authorized by Section 6402 of the  
11 Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") to refund an overpayment of federal taxes to the  
12 person who made the overpayment. Section 7422 of the IRC mandates that no suit  
13 for the recovery of any internal revenue tax alleged to have been erroneously or  
14 illegally assessed or collected shall be maintained in any court until a claim for  
15 refund has been filed. Pursuant to IRC § 6532(a), no suit for refund for the  
16 recovery of any internal revenue tax, penalty, or other sum shall begin before the  
17 expiration of six months of the date of the filing of the administrative claim for  
18 refund, unless the claim is disallowed by the IRS prior to the six month period.  
19  
20

21  
22          16. On October 19, 2022, Plaintiff filed Form 843 'Claim for Refund and  
23 Request for Abatement' for tax year 2006 ("2006 Claim for Refund") with the IRS.  
24

25          17. Plaintiff's '2006 Claim for Refund' provided Defendant with adequate  
26 notice that Plaintiff sought a refund of \$1,656,161.44 in taxes, interest and penalties  
27 paid by Plaintiff for the 2006 tax year.  
28

1 18. More than six months have elapsed since the date of the filing of the  
2  
3 administrative claim for refund for the 2006 tax year.

4 **CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

5 **COUNT I**

6 **(Illegal Exaction in Violation of Collection Statute)**

7 19. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

8 20. IRC §6502 provides that the IRS has ten (10) years from the assessment of  
9  
10 a tax to collect the outstanding liability. The collection statute's expiration ends the  
11  
12 government's right to pursue collection of a liability. *See* IRC §6502 (a).

13 21. The statute of limitations on collections may be extended by agreement  
14  
15 (Form 900).

16 22. It may also be extended if a taxpayer sues the IRS, submits an offer in  
17  
18 compromise or a request for a collection due process hearing to the IRS, files  
19  
20 bankruptcy, or if a taxpayer requests a hearing with a revenue agent's manager.  
21 *See* IRC §6503.

22 23. The IRS assessed the 2006 tax year taxes on September 5, 2011.

23 24. Plaintiff did not agree to or otherwise trigger the extension or suspension of  
24  
25 the ten (10) year statute of limitations for collections for the 2006 tax year  
26  
27 assessment.  
28

1           25. The ten (10) year statute of limitations to collect the 2006 tax liability  
2  
3 expired on September 5, 2021.

4           26. Defendant illegally exacted \$1,656,161.44 from Plaintiff after the  
5  
6 expiration of the ten (10) year statute of limitations for tax year 2006.

7                                   **COUNT II**

8                                   **(Violation of IRC §7433)**

9           27. Plaintiff realleges all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.  
10

11           28. Section 7433 of the IRC provides that if any officer or employee of the IRS  
12  
13 recklessly or intentionally, by reason of negligence, disregards any provision or  
14 regulation under Title 26 in connection with the collection of federal tax with  
15 respect to a taxpayer, such taxpayer may bring a civil action for damages against  
16 the United States.

17           29. On April 19, 2023, Plaintiff provided Defendant with a written  
18  
19 administrative claim (“Section 7433 Claim”) pursuant to IRC §7433, by mailing  
20 the Section 7433 Claim, *via certified mail, return receipt* to the IRS Area Director,  
21 Attn: Compliance Technical Support Manager, 6230 Van Nuys Blvd., Van Nuys,  
22 CA 91401.  
23

24           30. Defendant received Plaintiff’s Section 7433 Claim on April 22, 2023, as  
25  
26 reflected by Defendant’s acknowledgment and signature on the USPS return  
27 receipt.  
28

1 31. Plaintiff's Section 7433 Claim provided notice to Defendant of its intent to  
2 seek damages in the amount of \$1,289,316.55 in actual, direct economic damages  
3 resulting from the IRS' unlawful reckless collection actions.  
4

5 32. Plaintiff's Section 7433 Claim also includes \$50,000 in attorney fees which  
6 are reasonably foreseeable but not yet incurred.  
7

8 33. More than 30 days have passed since Plaintiff mailed its Section 7433 Claim  
9 to Defendant.  
10

11 34. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies pursuant to Treasury  
12 Regulation §301.7433-1.  
13

14 35. Plaintiff suffered actual and direct economic damages and incurred litigation  
15 costs and expenses as a result of Defendant's reckless and negligent actions.  
16

17 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

18 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- 19 A. For refund of \$1,656,161.44 in taxes, interest and penalties illegally collected  
20 by Defendant from Plaintiff for the 2006 tax year;  
21  
22 B. For civil damages against Defendant in the amount of \$1,289,316.55.  
23  
24 C. An award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses in this action; and  
25  
26 D. Such relief as the Court deems just and proper.  
27  
28

1 Date: June 1, 2023

2 Respectfully Submitted,

3 /s/ Anthony V. Diosdi

4 Anthony V. Diosdi (CA SBN 339070)

5 Diosdi Ching & Liu, LLP

6 505 Montgomery St. 11th Floor

7 San Francisco, CA 94111

8 Phone: (415) 318-3990

9 Fax: (415) 335-7922

adidosdi@sftaxcounsel.com

10 *Attorney for Plaintiff*